

# **In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska**

**Estate of Helen Lingley,**  
Appellant,

v.

**Alaska Airlines, Inc. and Dan Kane,**  
Appellees.

Supreme Court No. S-17110

## **Order Rejecting Reply Brief**

Date of Order: **1/15/2020**

Trial Court Case No. **1PE-12-00047CI**

Reply brief, filed on **1/13/2020**, is rejected for the following reasons specified by the law clerk who reviewed the brief for technical compliance with Appellate Rule 212.

1. The font type and size should be consistent throughout the brief. The Table of Authorities is in a different font, as is heading C on page 25.
2. There should be summaries or quotations from constitutional provisions, statutes, rules, ordinances, or regulations principally relied on in the brief following the table of authorities.
3. The argument section is missing a number of citations for both factual assertions and legal conclusions. For example, section C on page 4 contains factual assertions that should be cited and section D on page 4 contains legal conclusions that should be cited. Other sections require additional citations as well.
4. There must be a short conclusion stating the precise relief sought.

The original and one copy of the corrected brief, with proof of service, are due on or before **1/28/2020**.

Entered under Appellate Rule 102(f).

*Lingley v. Alaska Airlines, Inc.*  
Supreme Court No. S-17110  
Order of 1/15/2020  
Page 2

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

---

Joyce Marsh, Deputy Clerk

**Distribution:**

Mail:

Holbrook, Deborah A.  
McKeen, Mary Alice  
Fisher, Gregory S  
Hodes, Elizabeth P.

Email:

Holbrook, Deborah A.  
McKeen, Mary Alice  
Fisher, Gregory S  
Hodes, Elizabeth P.